

Maximality of the sum of a maximally monotone linear relation and a maximally monotone operator

Dedicated to Petar Kenderov on the occasion of his seventieth birthday

Jonathan M. Borwein* and Liangjin Yao†

December 18, 2012

Abstract

The most famous open problem in Monotone Operator Theory concerns the maximal monotonicity of the sum of two maximally monotone operators provided that Rockafellar's constraint qualification holds.

In this paper, we prove the maximal monotonicity of $A+B$ provided that A, B are maximally monotone and A is a linear relation, as soon as Rockafellar's constraint qualification holds: $\text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } B \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, $A+B$ is of type (FPV).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:

Primary 47A06, 47H05; Secondary 47B65, 47N10, 90C25

Keywords: Constraint qualification, convex set, Fitzpatrick function, linear relation, maximally monotone operator, monotone operator, monotone operator of type (FPV), multifunction, normal cone operator, Rockafellar's sum theorem, set-valued operator.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that X is a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, that X^* is the continuous dual of X , and that X and X^* are paired by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $A: X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a *set-valued operator* (also known as a relation, point-to-set mapping or multifunction) from X to X^* , i.e., for

*CARMA, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, New South Wales 2308, Australia. E-mail: jonathan.borwein@newcastle.edu.au. Laureate Professor at the University of Newcastle and Distinguished Professor at King Abdul-Aziz University, Jeddah.

†CARMA, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, New South Wales 2308, Australia. E-mail: liangjin.yao@newcastle.edu.au.

every $x \in X$, $Ax \subseteq X^*$, and let $\text{gra } A := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid x^* \in Ax\}$ be the *graph* of A . Recall that A is *monotone* if

$$(1) \quad \langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in \text{gra } A \forall (y, y^*) \in \text{gra } A,$$

and *maximally monotone* if A is monotone and A has no proper monotone extension (in the sense of graph inclusion). Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone and $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$. We say (x, x^*) is *monotonically related to* $\text{gra } A$ if

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (y, y^*) \in \text{gra } A.$$

Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. We say A is *of type (FPV)* if for every open convex set $U \subseteq X$ such that $U \cap \text{dom } A \neq \emptyset$, the implication

$$x \in U \text{ and } (x, x^*) \text{ is monotonically related to } \text{gra } A \cap (U \times X^*) \Rightarrow (x, x^*) \in \text{gra } A$$

holds. We say A is a *linear relation* if $\text{gra } A$ is a linear subspace. Monotone operators have proven to be important objects in modern Optimization and Analysis; see, e.g., the books [2, 8, 11, 12, 15, 21, 22, 19, 32, 33, 34] and the references therein. We adopt standard notation used in these books: $\text{dom } A := \{x \in X \mid Ax \neq \emptyset\}$ is the *domain* of A . Given a subset C of X , $\text{int } C$ is the *interior* of C , $\text{bdry } C$ is the *boundary* of C , $\text{aff } C$ is the *affine hull* of C and \overline{C} is the norm *closure* of C . We set $C^\perp := \{x^* \in X^* \mid (\forall c \in C) \langle x^*, c \rangle = 0\}$ and $S^\perp := \{x^{**} \in X^{**} \mid (\forall s \in S) \langle x^{**}, s \rangle = 0\}$ for a set $S \subseteq X^*$. We define ${}^{ic}C$ by

$${}^{ic}C := \begin{cases} {}^iC, & \text{if } \text{aff } C \text{ is closed;} \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where iC [32] is the *intrinsic core* or *relative algebraic interior* of C , defined by ${}^iC := \{a \in C \mid \forall x \in \text{aff}(C - C), \exists \delta > 0, \forall \lambda \in [0, \delta] : a + \lambda x \in C\}$.

The *indicator function* of C , written as ι_C , is defined at $x \in X$ by

$$(2) \quad \iota_C(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in C; \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $D \subseteq X$, we set $C - D = \{x - y \mid x \in C, y \in D\}$. For every $x \in X$, the normal cone operator of C at x is defined by $N_C(x) := \{x^* \in X^* \mid \sup_{c \in C} \langle c - x, x^* \rangle \leq 0\}$, if $x \in C$; and $N_C(x) = \emptyset$, if $x \notin C$. For $x, y \in X$, we set $[x, y] := \{tx + (1 - t)y \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. Given $f : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, we set $\text{dom } f := f^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. We say f is *proper* if $\text{dom } f \neq \emptyset$. Let f be proper. Then $\partial f : X \rightrightarrows X^* : x \mapsto \{x^* \in X^* \mid (\forall y \in X) \langle y - x, x^* \rangle + f(x) \leq f(y)\}$ is the *subdifferential operator* of f . We also set $P_X : X \times X^* \rightarrow X : (x, x^*) \mapsto x$. Finally, the *open unit ball* in X is denoted by $U_X := \{x \in X \mid \|x\| < 1\}$, the *closed unit ball* in X is denoted by $B_X := \{x \in X \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$, and $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. We denote by \longrightarrow and \rightharpoonup_w^* the norm convergence and weak* convergence of nets, respectively.

Let A and B be maximally monotone operators from X to X^* . Clearly, the *sum operator* $A + B : X \rightrightarrows X^* : x \mapsto Ax + Bx := \{a^* + b^* \mid a^* \in Ax \text{ and } b^* \in Bx\}$ is monotone. Rockafellar established the following very important result in 1970.

Theorem 1.1 (Rockafellar’s sum theorem) (See [18, Theorem 1] or [8].) *Suppose that X is reflexive. Let $A, B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Assume that A and B satisfy the classical constraint qualification*

$$\text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } B \neq \emptyset.$$

Then $A + B$ is maximally monotone.

The most significant open problem in the theory concerns the maximal monotonicity of the sum of two maximally monotone operators in general Banach spaces, which is called the “sum problem”. Some recent developments on the sum problem can be found in Simons’ monograph [22] and [5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 28, 14, 25, 29, 30, 31]. It is known, among other things, that the sum theorem holds under Rockafellar’s constraint qualification when both operators are of dense type or when each operator has nonempty domain interior [8, Ch. 8] and [27].

Here we focus on the case when A is a maximally monotone linear relation, and B is maximally monotone such that $\text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } B \neq \emptyset$. In Theorem 3.1 we shall show that $A + B$ is maximally monotone.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect auxiliary results for future reference and for the reader’s convenience. The proof of our main result (Theorem 3.1) forms the bulk of Section 3. In Section 4 various other consequences and related results are presented.

2 Auxiliary Results

We start with a well known result from Rockafellar.

Fact 2.1 (Rockafellar) (See [17, Theorem 1] or [22, Theorem 27.1 and Theorem 27.3].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone with $\text{int dom } A \neq \emptyset$. Then $\text{int dom } A = \text{int dom } \overline{A}$ and $\overline{\text{dom } A}$ is convex.*

The Fitzpatrick function below is a very useful tool in Monotone Operator Theory, which by now has been applied comprehensively.

Fact 2.2 (Fitzpatrick) (See [13, Corollary 3.9].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone, and set*

$$(3) \quad F_A : X \times X^* \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty] : (x, x^*) \mapsto \sup_{(a, a^*) \in \text{gra } A} (\langle x, a^* \rangle + \langle a, x^* \rangle - \langle a, a^* \rangle),$$

the Fitzpatrick function associated with A . Then for every $(x, x^) \in X \times X^*$, the inequality $\langle x, x^* \rangle \leq F_A(x, x^*)$ is true, and equality holds if and only if $(x, x^*) \in \text{gra } A$.*

Fact 2.3 (See [26, Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 5.6], or [22, Theorem 24.1(b)].) *Let $A, B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone operators. Assume $\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda [P_X(\text{dom } F_A) - P_X(\text{dom } F_B)]$ is a closed subspace. If*

$$(4) \quad F_{A+B} \geq \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \text{ on } X \times X^*,$$

then $A + B$ is maximally monotone.

We next introduce some properties of type (FPV) operators.

Fact 2.4 (Simons) (See [22, Theorem 46.1].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone linear relation. Then A is of type (FPV).*

Fact 2.5 (Simons and Verona-Verona) (See [22, Theorem 44.1] or [23].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Suppose that for every closed convex subset C of X with $\text{dom } A \cap \text{int } C \neq \emptyset$, the operator $A + N_C$ is maximally monotone. Then A is of type (FPV).*

Next we present a useful sufficient condition for the sum problem to have a positive resolution (see also [6]).

Fact 2.6 (Voisei and Zălinescu) (See [28, Corollary 4].) *Let $A, B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Assume that ${}^{ic}(\text{dom } A) \neq \emptyset, {}^{ic}(\text{dom } B) \neq \emptyset$ and $0 \in {}^{ic}[\text{dom } A - \text{dom } B]$. Then $A + B$ is maximally monotone.*

Fact 2.7 (See [4, Lemma 2.9].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone linear relation, and let $z \in X \cap (A0)^\perp$. Then $z \in \overline{\text{dom } A}$.*

Fact 2.8 (See [3, Lemma 2.5].) *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of X such that $\text{int } C \neq \emptyset$. Let $c_0 \in \text{int } C$ and suppose that $z \in X \setminus C$. Then there exists $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ such that $\lambda c_0 + (1 - \lambda)z \in \text{bdry } C$.*

Fact 2.9 (Boundedness below) (See [9, Fact 4.1].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone and $x \in \text{int dom } A$. Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that $x + \delta B_X \subseteq \text{dom } A$ and $\sup_{a \in x + \delta B_X} \|Aa\| \leq M$. Assume that (z, z^*) is monotonically related to $\text{gra } A$. Then*

$$(5) \quad \langle z - x, z^* \rangle \geq \delta \|z^*\| - (\|z - x\| + \delta)M.$$

Before we turn to our main result, we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.10 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation, and let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone operator. Suppose that $\text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } B \neq \emptyset$. Suppose also that $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$ is monotonically related to $\text{gra}(A + B)$, and that $z \in \text{dom } A$. Then $z \in \text{dom } B$.*

Proof. We can and do suppose that $(0, 0) \in \text{gra } A \cap \text{gra } B$ and $0 \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } B$. Suppose to the contrary that $z \notin \text{dom } B$. Then we have $z \neq 0$. We claim that

$$(6) \quad N_{[0, z]} + B \quad \text{is maximally monotone.}$$

Since $z \neq 0$, then we have $\frac{1}{2}z \in {}^{ic}(\text{dom } N_{[0, z]})$. Clearly, ${}^{ic}(\text{dom } B) \neq \emptyset$ and $0 \in {}^{ic}[\text{dom } A - \text{dom } B]$. By Fact 2.6, $N_{[0, z]} + B$ is maximally monotone and hence (6) holds. Since $(z, z^*) \notin \text{gra}(N_{[0, z]} + B)$, there exist $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $x^*, y^* \in X^*$ such that $(\lambda z, x^*) \in \text{gra } N_{[0, z]}$, $(\lambda z, y^*) \in \text{gra } B$ and

$$(7) \quad \langle z - \lambda z, z^* - x^* - y^* \rangle < 0.$$

Since $(\lambda z, x^*) \in \text{gra } B$ and $z \notin \text{dom } B$, $\lambda < 1$. Then by (7),

$$(8) \quad \langle z, -x^* \rangle + \langle z, z^* - y^* \rangle = \langle z, z^* - x^* - y^* \rangle < 0.$$

Since $(\lambda z, x^*) \in \text{gra } N_{[0, z]}$, we have $\langle z - \lambda z, x^* \rangle \leq 0$. Then $\langle z, -x^* \rangle \geq 0$. Thus (8) implies that

$$(9) \quad \langle z, z^* - y^* \rangle < 0.$$

Let $a^* \in A(\lambda z)$. By the assumption, we have

$$\langle z - \lambda z, z^* - a^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then we have $\langle z, z^* - a^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0$ and hence

$$(10) \quad \langle z, z^* - y^* \rangle \geq \langle z, a^* \rangle.$$

Now we show that

$$(11) \quad \langle z, a^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

We consider two cases.

Case 1: $\lambda = 0$. Then $a^* \in A0$. Since $z \in \text{dom } A$ and A is monotone, [1, Proposition 5.1(i)] implies that $\langle z, a^* \rangle = 0$. Hence (10) holds.

Case 2: $\lambda \neq 0$. Since $(\lambda z, a^*) \in \text{gra } A$, $\langle \lambda z, a^* \rangle \geq 0$ and hence $\langle z, a^* \rangle \geq 0$. Hence (11) holds.

Combining (10) and (11),

$$\langle z, z^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{which contradicts (9).}$$

Hence $z \in \text{dom } B$. ■

Remark 2.11 Lemma 2.10 generalizes [4, Lemma 2.10] in which B is assumed to be a convex subdifferential.

We now come to our central result.

3 Main Result

The proof of Theorem 3.1 in part follows that of [30, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 3.1 (Linear sum theorem) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone linear relation, and let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Suppose that $\text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } B \neq \emptyset$. Then $A + B$ is maximally monotone.*

Proof. After translating the graphs if necessary, we can and do assume that $0 \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } B$ and that $(0, 0) \in \text{gra } A \cap \text{gra } B$. By Fact 2.2, $\text{dom } A \subseteq P_X(\text{dom } F_A)$ and $\text{dom } B \subseteq P_X(\text{dom } F_B)$. Hence,

$$(12) \quad \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda(P_X(\text{dom } F_A) - P_X(\text{dom } F_B)) = X.$$

Thus, by Fact 2.3, it suffices to show that

$$(13) \quad F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle, \quad \forall (z, z^*) \in X \times X^*.$$

Take $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$. Then

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \\ &= \sup_{\{x, x^*, y^*\}} [\langle x, z^* \rangle + \langle z, x^* \rangle - \langle x, x^* \rangle + \langle z - x, y^* \rangle - \iota_{\text{gra } A}(x, x^*) - \iota_{\text{gra } B}(x, y^*)]. \end{aligned}$$

Assume to the contrary that

$$(15) \quad F_{A+B}(z, z^*) + \lambda < \langle z, z^* \rangle,$$

where $\lambda > 0$.

Now by (15),

$$(16) \quad (z, z^*) \text{ is monotonically related to } \text{gra}(A + B).$$

We claim that

$$(17) \quad z \notin \text{dom } A.$$

Indeed, if $z \in \text{dom } A$, apply (16) and Lemma 2.10 to get $z \in \text{dom } B$. Thus $z \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{dom } B$ and hence $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle$ which contradicts (15). This establishes (17).

By (15) and the assumption that $(0, 0) \in \text{gra } A \cap \text{gra } B$, we have

$$\sup [\langle 0, z^* \rangle + \langle z, A0 \rangle - \langle 0, A0 \rangle + \langle z, B0 \rangle] = \sup_{a^* \in A0, b^* \in B0} [\langle z, a^* \rangle + \langle z, b^* \rangle] < \langle z, z^* \rangle.$$

Thus, since $A0$ is a linear subspace,

$$(18) \quad z \in X \cap (A0)^\perp.$$

Then, by Fact 2.7, we have

$$(19) \quad z \in \overline{\text{dom } A}.$$

Combining (17) and (19), we have

$$(20) \quad z \in \overline{\text{dom } A} \setminus \text{dom } A.$$

Set

$$(21) \quad U_n := z + \frac{1}{n}U_X, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (20), $(z, z^*) \notin \text{gra } A$ and $U_n \cap \text{dom } A \neq \emptyset$. Since $z \in U_n$ and A is type of (FPV) by Fact 2.4, there exists $(a_n, a_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{gra } A$ with $a_n \in U_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(22) \quad \langle z, a_n^* \rangle + \langle a_n, z^* \rangle - \langle a_n, a_n^* \rangle > \langle z, z^* \rangle, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then by (15) and (18) we have

$$(23) \quad a_n \neq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad a_n \longrightarrow z.$$

Now we claim that

$$(24) \quad z \in \overline{\text{dom } B}.$$

Suppose to the contrary that $z \notin \overline{\text{dom } B}$.

As (23), there exists $K_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_n \notin \text{dom } B, \forall n \geq K_1$. For convenience, we can and do suppose that

$$(25) \quad a_n \notin \text{dom } B, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $0 \in \text{int dom } B$, by Fact 2.8 and Fact 2.1, there exists $\delta \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$(26) \quad \delta z \in \overline{\text{bdry dom } B}.$$

Now consider the operator: $B + N_{[0, a_n]}$. Following the corresponding lines of the proof of Lemma 2.10 and by (23), $B + N_{[0, a_n]}$ is maximally monotone for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Because $a_n \notin \text{dom } B$ by (25), $a_n \notin \text{dom } B \cap [0, a_n] = \text{dom}(B + N_{[0, a_n]})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(a_n, z^*) \notin \text{gra}(B + N_{[0, a_n]})$. Thence there exist $\beta_n \in [0, 1]$, $w_n^* \in B(\beta_n a_n)$ and $v_n^* \in N_{[0, a_n]}(\beta_n a_n)$ such that

$$(27) \quad \langle a_n - \beta_n a_n, z^* - w_n^* \rangle < \langle a_n - \beta_n a_n, v_n^* \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\beta_n \in [0, 1]$, there is a convergent subsequence of $(\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which, for convenience, we still denote by $(\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Now $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta$, where $\beta \in [0, 1]$. Then by (23),

$$(28) \quad \beta_n a_n \rightarrow \beta z.$$

We claim that

$$(29) \quad \beta \leq \delta < 1.$$

Indeed, suppose to the contrary that $\beta > \delta$. By (28), $\beta z \in \overline{\text{dom } B}$. Then by $0 \in \text{int dom } B$ and [32, Theorem 1.1.2(ii)], $\delta z = \frac{\delta}{\beta} \beta z \in \text{int } \overline{\text{dom } B}$, which contradicts (26). Hence (29) holds.

We can and do suppose that $\beta_n < 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (27),

$$(30) \quad \langle a_n, z^* - w_n^* \rangle < 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $(0, 0) \in \text{gra } A$, $\langle a_n, a_n^* \rangle \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by (22), we have

$$(31) \quad \langle z, \beta_n a_n^* \rangle + \langle \beta_n a_n, z^* \rangle - \beta_n^2 \langle a_n, a_n^* \rangle \geq \langle \beta_n z, a_n^* \rangle + \langle \beta_n a_n, z^* \rangle - \beta_n \langle a_n, a_n^* \rangle \geq \beta_n \langle z, z^* \rangle.$$

Hence, by (31),

$$(32) \quad \langle z - \beta_n a_n, \beta_n a_n^* \rangle \geq \langle \beta_n z - \beta_n a_n, z^* \rangle.$$

Since $\text{gra } A$ is a linear subspace and $(a_n, a_n^*) \in \text{gra } A$, $(\beta_n a_n, \beta_n a_n^*) \in \text{gra } A$. By (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &< \langle z - \beta_n a_n, z^* - w_n^* - \beta_n a_n^* \rangle = \langle z - \beta_n a_n, z^* - w_n^* \rangle + \langle z - \beta_n a_n, -\beta_n a_n^* \rangle \\ &\leq \langle z - \beta_n a_n, z^* - w_n^* \rangle - \langle \beta_n z - \beta_n a_n, z^* \rangle \quad (\text{by (32)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(33) \quad \lambda \leq \langle z - \beta_n a_n, z^* - w_n^* \rangle - \langle \beta_n z - \beta_n a_n, z^* \rangle.$$

We again consider two cases:

Case 1: $(w_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. By the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem (see [20, Theorem 3.15]), there exist a weak* convergent *subnet* $(w_\gamma^*)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of $(w_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$(34) \quad w_\gamma^* \rightharpoonup_{w^*} w_\infty^* \in X^*.$$

Combine (23), (28) and (34), we pass to the limit along the given subnet of (33) to deduce that

$$(35) \quad \lambda \leq \langle z - \beta z, z^* - w_\infty^* \rangle.$$

By (29), on dividing by $(1 - \beta)$ on both sides of (35) we get

$$(36) \quad \langle z, z^* - w_\infty^* \rangle \geq \frac{\lambda}{1 - \beta} > 0.$$

On the other hand, by (23) and (34), on passing to the limit along the same subnet in (30) we see that

$$(37) \quad \langle z, z^* - w_\infty^* \rangle \leq 0,$$

which contradicts (36).

Case 2: $(w_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unbounded. After passing to a subsequence if necessary, we assume that $\|w_n^*\| \neq 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $\|w_n^*\| \rightarrow +\infty$. By the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem again, there exist a weak* convergent *subnet* $(w_\nu^*)_{\nu \in I}$ of $(w_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$(38) \quad \frac{w_\nu^*}{\|w_\nu^*\|} \rightharpoonup_{w^*} y_\infty^* \in X^*.$$

As $0 \in \text{int dom } B$ and using Fact 2.9, there exist $\rho > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that

$$(39) \quad \langle \beta_n a_n, \frac{w_n^*}{\|w_n^*\|} \rangle \geq \rho - \frac{(\|\beta_n a_n\| + \rho)M}{\|w_n^*\|}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Combining (28) and (38), and taking the limit in (39) along the subnet, we obtain

$$(40) \quad \langle \beta z, y_\infty^* \rangle \geq \rho.$$

Then we have $\beta \neq 0$ and thus $\beta > 0$. By (40),

$$(41) \quad \langle z, y_\infty^* \rangle \geq \frac{\rho}{\beta} > 0.$$

Dividing by $\|w_n^*\|$ in (33) and taking the weak* limit in (33) along the subnet, it follows from (28) and (38) that

$$(42) \quad \langle z - \beta z, -y_\infty^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

By (29),

$$\langle z, y_\infty^* \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{which contradicts (41).}$$

Combining all the cases above, we obtain $z \in \overline{\text{dom } B}$.

Next, we show that

$$(43) \quad F_{A+B}(tz, tz^*) \geq t^2 \langle z, z^* \rangle, \quad \forall t \in]0, 1[.$$

Let $t \in]0, 1[$. By $0 \in \text{int dom } B$, Fact 2.1 and [32, Theorem 1.1.2(ii)], we have

$$(44) \quad tz \in \text{int } \overline{\text{dom } B}.$$

Fact 2.1 implies that

$$(45) \quad tz \in \text{int dom } B.$$

Set

$$H_n := tz + \frac{1}{n}U_X, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\text{dom } A$ is a linear subspace, $tz \in \overline{\text{dom } A} \setminus \text{dom } A$ by (20). Then $H_n \cap \text{dom } A \neq \emptyset$. Since $(tz, tz^*) \notin \text{gra } A$ and $tz \in H_n$, and A is of type (FPV) by Fact 2.4, there exists $(b_n, b_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{gra } A$ such that $b_n \in H_n$ and

$$(46) \quad \langle tz, b_n^* \rangle + \langle b_n, tz^* \rangle - \langle b_n, b_n^* \rangle > t^2 \langle z, z^* \rangle, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As $tz \in \text{int dom } B$ and $b_n \rightarrow tz$, by Fact 2.9, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$(47) \quad b_n \in \text{int dom } B \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{v^* \in B(b_n)} \|v^*\| \leq K, \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A+B}(tz, tz^*) &\geq \sup_{\{c^* \in B(b_n)\}} [\langle b_n, tz^* \rangle + \langle tz, b_n^* \rangle - \langle b_n, b_n^* \rangle + \langle tz - b_n, c^* \rangle], \quad \forall n \geq N \\ &\geq \sup_{\{c^* \in B(b_n)\}} [t^2 \langle z, z^* \rangle + \langle tz - b_n, c^* \rangle], \quad \forall n \geq N \quad (\text{by (46)}) \\ &\geq \sup [t^2 \langle z, z^* \rangle - K \|tz - b_n\|], \quad \forall n \geq N \quad (\text{by (47)}) \\ (48) \quad &\geq t^2 \langle z, z^* \rangle \quad (\text{by } b_n \rightarrow tz). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F_{A+B}(tz, tz^*) \geq t^2 \langle z, z^* \rangle$.

We have proved that (43) holds. Since $(0, 0) \in \text{gra}(A + B)$ and $A + B$ is monotone, we have $F_{A+B}(0, 0) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0$. Since F_{A+B} is convex, (43) implies that

$$tF_{A+B}(z, z^*) = tF_{A+B}(z, z^*) + (1-t)F_{A+B}(0, 0) \geq F_{A+B}(tz, tz^*) \geq t^2 \langle z, z^* \rangle, \quad \forall t \in]0, 1[.$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 1^-$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$(49) \quad F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle.$$

Therefore, (13) holds, and $A + B$ is maximally monotone. ■

Remark 3.2 Theorem 3.1 generalizes the main results in [3, 4, 30].

We now establish the promised corollary:

Corollary 3.3 (FPV property of the sum) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone linear relation. Let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. If $\text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } B \neq \emptyset$, then $A + B$ is of type (FPV).*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, $A + B$ is maximally monotone. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of X , and suppose that $\text{dom}(A + B) \cap \text{int } C \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_1 \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{int } \text{dom } B$ and $x_2 \in \text{dom}(A + B) \cap \text{int } C$. Then $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom } A$, $x_1 \in \text{int } \text{dom } B$ and $x_2 \in \text{dom } B \cap \text{int } C$. Hence $\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2 \in \text{int } \text{dom } B$ for every $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ by Fact 2.1 and [32, Theorem 1.1.2(ii)] and so there exists $\delta \in]0, 1]$ such that $\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2 \in \text{int } C$ for every $\lambda \in [0, \delta]$.

Thus, $\delta x_1 + (1 - \delta)x_2 \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{int } \text{dom } B \cap \text{int } C$. By Fact 2.6 or [6, Theorem 9(i)], $B + N_C$ is maximally monotone. Then, by Theorem 3.1 (applied A and $B + N_C$ to A and B), $A + B + N_C = A + (B + N_C)$ is maximally monotone. By Fact 2.5, $A + B$ is of type (FPV). ■

Note that with $A = 0$ we recover the fact that a maximally monotone mapping is type (FPV) when its domain has nonempty interior.

Remark 3.4 The proof of Corollary 3.3 was adapted from that of [30, Corollary 3.3]. Moreover, Corollary 3.3 generalizes [30, Corollary 3.3].

4 Further Consequences

The next result reduces all sum theorems to linear ones.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $A, B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone such that $\text{dom } A \cap \text{dom } B \neq \emptyset$, let*

$$C := \{(x, x) \in X \times X \mid x \in X\}.$$

Let $T : X \times X \rightrightarrows X^ \times X^*$ be defined by*

$$T(x, y) := (Ax, By).$$

Then $A + B$ is maximally monotone if and only if $T + N_C$ is maximally monotone.

Proof. We have

$$(50) \quad N_C(x, x) = \{(x^*, -x^*) \mid x^* \in X^*\}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

“ \Rightarrow ”: Clearly, $T + N_C$ is monotone. Let $((x_0, y_0), (x_0^*, y_0^*)) \in (X \times X) \times (X^* \times X^*)$ be monotonically related to $\text{gra}(T + N_C)$. Now we show that $((x_0, y_0), (x_0^*, y_0^*)) \in \text{gra}(T + N_C)$. Then by (50),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle (x_0, y_0) - (a, a), (x_0^*, y_0^*) - (a^*, b^*) - (x^*, -x^*) \right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (a, a^*) \in \text{gra } A, (a, b^*) \in \text{gra } B, \\
& \quad \forall x^* \in X^* \\
& \Rightarrow \left\langle (x_0 - a, y_0 - a), (x_0^* - a^* - x^*, y_0^* - b^* + x^*) \right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (a, a^*) \in \text{gra } A, (a, b^*) \in \text{gra } B, \\
& \quad \forall x^* \in X^* \\
& \Rightarrow \langle x_0 - a, x_0^* - a^* \rangle + \langle y_0 - a, y_0^* - b^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \langle x_0 - a, -x^* \rangle + \langle y_0 - a, x^* \rangle = 0, \\
& \quad \forall (a, a^*) \in \text{gra } A, (a, b^*) \in \text{gra } B, \forall x^* \in X^* \\
& \Rightarrow \langle x_0 - a, x_0^* - a^* \rangle + \langle y_0 - a, y_0^* - b^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad x_0 = y_0, \quad \forall (a, a^*) \in \text{gra } A, (a, b^*) \in \text{gra } B \\
& \Rightarrow \langle x_0 - a, x_0^* + y_0^* - a^* - b^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad x_0 = y_0, \quad \forall (a, a^*) \in \text{gra } A, (a, b^*) \in \text{gra } B \\
& \Rightarrow x_0^* + y_0^* \in (A + B)x_0, \quad x_0 = y_0 \quad (\text{since } A + B \text{ is maximal monotone}) \\
& \Rightarrow \exists v^* \in X^*, \quad x_0^* + v^* \in Ax_0, y_0^* - v^* \in Bx_0, \quad x_0 = y_0 \\
& \Rightarrow ((x_0, y_0), (x_0^*, y_0^*)) \in \text{gra}(T + N_C) \quad (\text{by (50)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $T + N_C$ is maximally monotone.

“ \Leftarrow ”: Let $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$ be monotonically related to $\text{gra}(A + B)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle z - a, z^* - a^* - b^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (a, a^*) \in \text{gra } A, (a, b^*) \in \text{gra } B \\
& \Rightarrow \langle z - a, \frac{z^*}{2} - a^* \rangle + \langle z - a, \frac{z^*}{2} - b^* \rangle + \langle z - a, -x^* \rangle + \langle z - a, x^* \rangle \geq 0, \\
& \quad \forall (a, a^*) \in \text{gra } A, (a, b^*) \in \text{gra } B, \forall x^* \in X^* \\
& \Rightarrow \langle z - a, \frac{z^*}{2} - a^* - x^* \rangle + \langle z - a, \frac{z^*}{2} - b^* + x^* \rangle \geq 0, \\
& \quad \forall (a, a^*) \in \text{gra } A, (a, b^*) \in \text{gra } B, \forall x^* \in X^* \\
& \Rightarrow \left\langle (z, z) - (a, a), (\frac{z^*}{2}, \frac{z^*}{2}) - (a^*, b^*) - (x^*, -x^*) \right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (a, a^*) \in \text{gra } A, (a, b^*) \in \text{gra } B, \\
& \quad \forall x^* \in X^* \\
& \Rightarrow \left\langle (z, z) - \mathbf{w}, (\frac{z^*}{2}, \frac{z^*}{2}) - \mathbf{w}^* \right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}^*) \in \text{gra}(T + N_C) \quad (\text{by (50)}) \\
& \Rightarrow (\frac{z^*}{2}, \frac{z^*}{2}) \in (T + N_C)(z, z) \quad (\text{since } T + N_C \text{ is maximal monotone}) \\
& \Rightarrow \exists v^*, \quad (\frac{z^*}{2}, \frac{z^*}{2}) \in (Az, Bz) + (v^*, -v^*) \quad (\text{by (50)}). \\
& \Rightarrow z^* = \frac{z^*}{2} + \frac{z^*}{2} \in (A + B)z.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $A + B$ is maximally monotone. ■

It is important to note that exchanging the roles of A and B in Theorem 3.1 leads to a much tougher linear sum problem.

Remark 4.2 Let A, B be maximally monotone such that $\text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } B \neq \emptyset$ (i.e., they satisfy Rockafellar's constraint qualification), let T, C be defined as in Proposition 4.1. Then we have

$$\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda [\text{dom } T - \text{dom } N_C] = X \times X.$$

Note that T is maximally monotone (see the corresponding lines of proof of [10, Proposition 3.13]) and N_C is a maximally monotone linear relation. If the following conjecture is true then by Proposition 4.1, $A + B$ is maximally monotone and hence the general sum theorem holds.

Conjecture Let $S : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone linear relation, and let $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone such that $\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda [\text{dom } S - \text{dom } T] = X$. Then $S + T$ is maximally monotone.

In a related manner we have:

Corollary 4.3 Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone linear relation, and let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone such that $\text{dom } A \cap \text{int dom } B \neq \emptyset$. Let $C := \{(x, x) \in X \times X \mid x \in X\}$ and let $T : X \times X \rightrightarrows X^* \times X^*$ be defined by $T(x, y) := (Ax, By)$. Then $T + N_C$ is maximally monotone.

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 4.1 directly. ■

In consequence, we are left with the following unresolved and interesting questions.

Open problem 4.4 Let $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ be a continuous monotone linear operator, and let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Is $A + B$ necessarily maximally monotone ?

Open problem 4.5 Let $f : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function, and let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone with $\text{dom } \partial f \cap \text{int dom } B \neq \emptyset$. Is $\partial f + B$ necessarily maximally monotone ?

Finally we recapitulate the conjecture after Remark 4.2.

Open problem 4.6 Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone linear relation, and let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone such that $\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda [\text{dom } A - \text{dom } B] = X$. Is $A + B$ necessarily maximally monotone ?

Acknowledgment

The author thanks Dr. Robert Csetnek for bringing us to consider various cases of the sum problem and also for his helpful comments. Jonathan Borwein and Liangjin Yao were partially supported by various Australian Research Council grants.

References

- [1] H.H. Bauschke, J.M. Borwein, X. Wang, and L. Yao, “The Brezis-Browder Theorem in a general Banach space”, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 262, pp. 4948–4971, 2012.
- [2] H.H. Bauschke and P.L. Combettes, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [3] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, “An answer to S. Simons’ question on the maximal monotonicity of the sum of a maximal monotone linear operator and a normal cone operator”, *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, vol. 17, pp. 195–201, 2009.
- [4] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, “On the maximal monotonicity of the sum of a maximal monotone linear relation and the subdifferential operator of a sublinear function”, *Proceedings of the Haifa Workshop on Optimization Theory and Related Topics. Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI*, vol. 568, pp. 19–26, 2012.
- [5] J.M. Borwein, “Maximal monotonicity via convex analysis”, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 13, pp. 561–586, 2006.
- [6] J.M. Borwein, “Maximality of sums of two maximal monotone operators in general Banach space”, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 135, pp. 3917–3924, 2007.
- [7] J.M. Borwein, “Fifty years of maximal monotonicity”, *Optimization Letters*, vol. 4, pp. 473–490, 2010.
- [8] J.M. Borwein and J.D. Vanderwerff, *Convex Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [9] J.M. Borwein and L. Yao, “Structure theory for maximally monotone operators with points of continuity”, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol 156, 2013 (Invited paper); <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10957-012-0162-y>.
- [10] J.M. Borwein and L. Yao, “Recent progress on Monotone Operator Theory”; <http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.3401v2>, October 2012.
- [11] R.S. Burachik and A.N. Iusem, *Set-Valued Mappings and Enlargements of Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [12] D. Butnariu and A.N. Iusem, *Totally Convex Functions for Fixed Points Computation and Infinite Dimensional Optimization*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- [13] S. Fitzpatrick, “Representing monotone operators by convex functions”, in *Workshop/Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra 1988)*, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, vol. 20, Canberra, Australia, pp. 59–65, 1988.
- [14] M. Marques Alves and B.F. Svaiter, “A new qualification condition for the maximality of the sum of maximal monotone operators in general Banach spaces”, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 19, pp. 575–589, 2012.

- [15] R.R. Phelps, *Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [16] R.R. Phelps and S. Simons, “Unbounded linear monotone operators on nonreflexive Banach spaces”, *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 5, pp. 303–328, 1998.
- [17] R.T. Rockafellar, “Local boundedness of nonlinear, monotone operators”, *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, vol. 16, pp. 397–407, 1969.
- [18] R.T. Rockafellar, “On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators”, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 149, pp. 75–88, 1970.
- [19] R.T. Rockafellar and R.J-B Wets, *Variational Analysis*, 3rd Printing, Springer-Verlag, 2009.
- [20] R. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, Second Edition, McGraw-Hill, 1991.
- [21] S. Simons, *Minimax and Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [22] S. Simons, *From Hahn-Banach to Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [23] A. Verona and M.E. Verona, “Regular maximal monotone operators”, *Set-Valued Analysis*, vol. 6, pp. 303–312, 1998.
- [24] A. Verona and M.E. Verona, “Regular maximal monotone operators and the sum theorem”, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 7, pp. 115–128, 2000.
- [25] A. Verona and M.E. Verona, “On the regularity of maximal monotone operators and related results”; <http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.1968v3>, December 2012.
- [26] M.D. Voisei, “The sum and chain rules for maximal monotone operators”, *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, vol. 16, pp. 461–476, 2008.
- [27] M.D. Voisei and C. Zălinescu, “Strongly-representable monotone operators”, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 16, pp. 1011–1033, 2009.
- [28] M.D. Voisei and C. Zălinescu, “Maximal monotonicity criteria for the composition and the sum under weak interiority conditions”, *Mathematical Programming (Series B)*, vol. 123, pp. 265–283, 2010.
- [29] L. Yao, “The sum of a maximal monotone operator of type (FPV) and a maximal monotone operator with full domain is maximally monotone”, *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 74, pp. 6144–6152, 2011.
- [30] L. Yao, “The sum of a maximally monotone linear relation and the subdifferential of a proper lower semicontinuous convex function is maximally monotone”, *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, vol. 20, pp. 155–167, 2012.
- [31] L. Yao, *On Monotone Linear Relations and the Sum Problem in Banach Spaces*, Ph.D. thesis, University of British Columbia (Okanagan), 2011; <http://hdl.handle.net/2429/39970>.

- [32] C. Zălinescu, *Convex Analysis in General Vector Spaces*, World Scientific Publishing, 2002.
- [33] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications II/A: Linear Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [34] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications II/B: Nonlinear Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.